#### **EXHIBIT 12-A**

### MONTANA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

### CDBG PROJECT MONITORING GUIDE

Montana Department of Commerce

Anthony J. Priete Director

David Cole Administrator Community Development Division

> Gus Byrom CDBG Program Manager

Joe LaForest Administrative Officer

Program Specialists: Kathryn Brenden Frank Kromkowski Jennifer Olson

Program Assistant: Joanne Gilbert

301 S. Park Avenue P.O. Box 200523 Helena, Montana 59620-0523

Phone: (406) 841-2791

**Updated July 2007** 

## MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# CDBG PROGRAM PROJECT MONITORING GUIDE

Nam	ne of Grant Recipie	nt						
	Contract #	#						
Ту	pe of Project	Economic Housing Public Fac	Development ilities					
Dat	e(s) Monitored		Monitored By:					
	Local Project Manager							
	Address							
	Phone Number:							
Pe	rsons Interviewed:							
Con	npliance Areas			Check Are Reviewed				
Α.	Project Manageme	ent						
В.	Environmental Re							
C.	Procurement							
D.	Financial Manager	ment						
E.	Civil Rights Comp	liance						
F.	Labor Standards (	Compliance						
G.	Acquisition							
Н.	Relocation							
l.								
J.	Individual Housing	g Rehabilita	tion File Review					
K.	Economic Develop (CDBG-ED)	oment Proje	ct Administration					
L.	Project Closeout and Audit Review							

A.	PROJECT MANAGEMENT					
1. F	. PROJECT DOCUMENTATION					
YES	NO	NA				
			a. Do the CDBG recipient's files contain a copy of the original CDBG application and all relevant supplementary materials (income or housing condition surveys map of project area, etc.)?			
			Comments:			
			b. 1) What was the benefit to low and moderate income (LMI) persons claimed on the application? 2) What is the actual LMI benefit in the project?			
			Comments:			
			c. If LMI benefit claim was based on a local survey, are the original survey responses on file?			
			Comments:			
			<ul> <li>d. Review the survey file. 1) Does the Grantee's survey data appear verifiable?</li> <li>2) Is the documentation consistent with the CDBG guidelines, <u>Documenting</u> <u>Benefit to Low and Moderate Income Persons</u>?</li> <li>3) Does the survey data match up with the project area?</li> </ul>			
			Comments:			
			e. Did the grantee's reports (Exhibit 4-F, Progress Reports, and Exhibit 4-N, Quarterly Update Reports) and communications to CDBG keep the CDBG Program staff informed of all important project activities and issues?			
			Comments:			
			f. Review CDBG's requirements checklists: Exhibit 1-G, Exhibit 2-B.1, Exhibit 3-I, Exhibit 5-U, Chapter 6 (page 6-7 and following/Labor Standards), Exhibit 9-M, and Exhibit 13-A. Identify (1) required documentation provided to CDBG and (2) additional documentation needed.			
			Comments:			
2. F	PROJE	СТ МА	NAGEMENT			
YES	NO	NA				
			a. Does the Grantee's record keeping system contain files for the topics suggested by CDBG (page 1-17 and following, CDBG Manual)?			
			Comments:			
			<b>b.</b> If so, is the system being used effectively and does the record keeping system appear adequate?			

			Comments:
YES	NO	NA	
			c. Do the CDBG recipient's files contain a copy of the signed MDOC-Grantee contract, all subsequent amendments and all relevant contract correspondence?
			Comments :
			<ul> <li>d. 1) Does the Grantee have a copy of the approved, current Project Management Plan and Project Implementation Schedule (Schedule is contract Attachment A) on file? Were they amended as necessary?</li> <li>2) Does the project manager have a copy of the applicable edition of the Montana CDBG Grant Administration Manual?</li> </ul>
			Comments :
			e. Are project activities being carried out in the same (scope, schedule, and budget) as proposed in the original CDBG application or as subsequently approved by MDOC?  Comments:
			Comments :
			f. How well were the Plan and Schedule followed?
			Comments:
			g. Based upon the on-site review, does the level of project implementation appear consistent with Project Progress Reports and Quarterly Update Reports?
			Comments:
			h. Based upon the on-site review, does it appear that the project will be completed in conformance with the approved implementation schedule in the grant contract? (If not, please explain how the situation will be resolved.)
			Comments:
			<ul> <li>i. Review the <u>Citizen Participation</u> file. Note any public comments, inquiries, or complaints regarding the project.</li> <li>Were any problems identified or complaints received?</li> </ul>
			Comments:
			If "yes," did they receive responses within a reasonable time period (15 days)?
			Were the problems or complaints resolved promptly and satisfactorily?

В.	ENVI	RONM	IENTAL REVIEW
YES	NO	NA	
			a) Does the Grantee maintain a copy of the project's Environmental Review Record in its offices, available for public review? b) Is all documentation pertinent to the ERR submitted to MDOC/CDBG as part of the Request for Release of Funds (e.g., FONSI, proof of publication, Statutory Checklist, letters to agencies requesting comment) in the Grantee's local files?
			Comments:
			Does the local project file include a copy of the <u>Environmental Release of Funds (EROF) letter</u> issued by CDBG?
			Comments:
			3. Is there any additional pertinent environmental information in the Grantee's local project files (e.g., correspondence related to queries or challenges)? If yes, list and describe.
			Comments:
			4. Have any environmentally related complaints been received by the Grantee or CDBG as a result of project activities? Are there any potential concerns regarding environmental review procedures.
			Comments:
			5. Are project activities being carried out in the same geographic area as proposed in the original CDBG application or as subsequently approved by DOC?
			Comments:
			6. Does on-site monitoring of the project area reveal the existence of any hazardous sites or other environmental concerns that would question the validity of the Grantee's Environmental Review or that may require mitigating measures during project implementation?
			Comments:
			7. Were there any substantial changes in the circumstances, magnitude or extent of the project that did or would necessitate further environmental review, a revised assessment, or a change in the level of finding of the review?
			Comments:
			8. <i>If yes to 7:</i> In the event that substantial changes have occurred in the project, is all necessary documentation, such as a revised assessment, change in finding, and new public notices, contained in the Grantee's updated ERR and in the project files?

ſ	ſ	1 1	
		<u> </u>	Comments:
YES	NO	NA	
			9. Does on-site monitoring of the project area reveal the existence of any environmental concerns that may require (or did require) mitigating measures during project implementation?
			Comments:
			10. Does on-site monitoring of the project indicate any non-compliance with state or federal environmental laws or regulations?
			Comments:
		LIDE1:	
C.	PROC	UREM	ENT (Non-Construction Services)
	MONIT	ORING	QUESTIONS FOR SAMPLED PROCUREMENT TRANSACTIONS
YES	NO	NA	
			Check non-construction contracts entered into by the Grantee. Sample the documentation of at least one non-construction procurement. a) Did the Grantee's procurement files contain adequate written documentation of the procedures followed for the sampled procurement transactions? b) Did the procurement comply with current MDOC procedures? (See CDBG Administration Manual, Chapter 3, Procurement.)
			Comments:
2.	REVIE	W OF G	ENERAL PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES
YES	NO	NA	a. Has the Grantee ensured that no <u>conflict of interest</u> , real or apparent, exists with respect to any contract supported by grant funds?
			Comments:
			b. Have any apparent conflicts of interest occurred in contractor selection?
			If yes, describe the situation.
			<ul> <li>c. Has the Grantee established procedures to assure ongoing review of contractor performance and contract expenditures during the term of any CDBG-funded contracts?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>

alread	3. NON-CONSTRUCTION PROCUREMENT REVIEW CHECKLIST ( Skip this section if this was already reviewed in-office by CDBG staff prior to the on-site monitoring visit. Include documents from earlier review in the monitoring file)							
includ	Note: Photocopy this checklist and complete it for each non-construction contract reviewed, including CDBG-funded professional services contracts such as grant management services (to be procured via RFPs) and architectural or engineering services (to be procured via RFQs).							
This cl	necklist	may be	e used either for in-office	or on-site reviews of procurement procedures.				
				opriate contract language regarding civil rights in bid 3, <u>Procurement</u> , Exhibit 3-F and Exhibit 3-G.				
a.	Name o	of Firm/0	Contractor					
b.	Purpos	e of Co	ntract					
C.	Date of	Contra	ct					
d.	Amoun	t						
What type of procurement process was used?  (1) HUD's Small Purchase Procedure (2) Competitive Sealed Bid  (3) Competitive Proposals (RFPs or RFQs) (4)Non-competitive Negotiation (Sole Source)								
YES	NO	NA	1) HUD'S SMALL PUR	CHASE PROCEDURE: (Page 3-12 ff, CDBG Manual)				
			a) Was the small peing procured	purchase procedure appropriate for the good or service?				
			Comments:					
			b) Was the cost \$	100,000 or less?				
			Comments:					
			c) Were price quo	tes obtained from more than one qualified source?				
			Comments:					

**Comments:** 

d) Was adequate documentation of the procurement available?

YES	NO	NA	
			2) COMPETITIVE SEALED BID: Competitive sealed bidding is the standard procurement process followed for construction activities involved in CDBG public facility projects – not for non-construction services. CDBG Manual, page 3-13 and following.
			<u>Go to Section I of this Guide</u> : Review the bid and selection procedures for competitive sealed bids for all major construction contracts – as part of the monitoring review in <i>Section I</i> below.
			3) COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS:
			a) Were competitive proposals appropriate for the goods or services being procured? RFP RFQ
			Comments:
			b) How many proposals were received from qualified sources?
			Comments:
			c) Did the Grantee adequately publicize the RFP or RFQ and honor reasonable requests to submit responses to the RFP or RFQ?
			Comments:
			d) Did the Grantee contact Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) for proposals? If not, why?
			Comments:
			e) Did the RFP or RFQ clearly identify all the major factors that were used to evaluate the responses and their relative weight in the selection?
			Comments:
			f) Were all the responses evaluated according to the written criteria established in advance?
			Comments:
			g) Did the grant recipient have a method for conducting technical valuations of the proposals received and for selecting the awardee?
			Comments:
			h) Did the grant recipient check references for the awardee?
			Comments:
			i) Did the grantee thoroughly document its selection process? Does the process used qualify as open and free competition?
YES	NO	NA	

			4) NONCOMPETITIVE NEGOTIATION (SOLE SOURCE): Page 3-14 ff, CDBG Manual	
			Did DOC authorize sole source procurement as required? Date:	
			Did at least one of the following conditions apply?	
			a) After solicitation from a number of sources, competition was determined to be inadequate?	
			Comments:	
			b) The items or services required were available only from one source?	
			Comments:	
			c) A public emergency existed such that the urgency would not permit a delay to use one of the other methods of procurement?	
			Comments:	
4.	4. QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE GRANTEE'S PROCUREMENT TRANSACTIONS			
			a. Was the procurement transaction conducted in a manner that provided maximum open and free competition (so that the procedures and description of technical requirements did not restrict or eliminate competition)?	
			Comments:	
			b. Were the methods used to advertise or solicit competition appropriate?	
			Comments:	
			c. Did the Grantee take affirmative steps to assure that small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises were solicited as a potential source of supplies, equipment, construction, or services? If so, please describe. If not, why not?	
			Comments:	
			d. Did the Grantee submit the contract for MDOC/CDBG's review, prior to entering into the contract?	
			Comments:	
			Date of review:	
			Reviewed by:	
YES	NO	NA		
			e. Does the contract contain the clauses required by MDOC? (Use <i>Exhibit</i> <b>3-F</b> "Sample Format for a Professional Services Contract, in the CDBG	

	Grant Administration Manual, for examples of the CDBG-required clauses for professional services agreements.)
	Comments:
	f. If the contract was <u>not</u> reviewed previously by Montana CDBG staff, complete the checklist shown in CDBG Administration Manual.
	g. Did the CDBG grant recipient contact Montana CDBG prior to entering into contracts, to determine whether the contractors and subcontractors selected were listed by the federal government as "debarred contractors"?
	Comments:
	Date debarment checks were performed:
	h. Was a cost reimbursable and specified "not to exceed" compensation used? NOTE:  Cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost <u>are prohibited</u> .

# D. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

## 1. GENERAL ISSUES

YES	NO	NA	
			a. Did the Grantee need (and receive) assistance from the Department of Commerce or the Department of Administration (DOA) in establishing a financial management and record keeping system to account for all CDBG money in accord with Chapter 4 of the CDBG manual?
			Comments / date of visits:
			b. Did the DOC or DOA identify any concerns regarding the Grantee's financial management system?
			Comments:
			c. Have these concerns been satisfactorily addressed by the Grantee?
			Comments:
			d. Has any entity-wide audit or CDBG/MDOC monitoring been conducted to date during the term of the CDBG project? If yes, date of monitoring or date of audit:  What firm or agency conducted the audit:
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA	
			e. If an audit or audits were conducted, are any findings in the audits? Are there any CDBG monitoring findings or issues concerning the financial management of CDBG funds?
			Comments:
			f. If yes, has the Grantee satisfactorily resolved all findings noted in CDBG monitoring letters or any previous audits conducted during the term of the project?
			Comments:
			g. Is the grant within the direct control of the city, town, or county, and included in their financial statements?
			Comments:
			h. What financial system does the Grantee use?
			BARS TAS Other
			If Other, describe
			<ul> <li>i. Has the Grantee officially adopted a budget for expenditure of the CDBG grant by resolution and in accordance with the CDBG contract?</li> <li>~ Were all changes in budget expenditures and project activities approved by MDOC in advance?</li> </ul>
			Comments:
			j. Are the budget line items within the local accounting records recorded by the Grantee consistent with the budget line items in the CDBG contract?
			Comments:
			k. Has the Grantee established a separate special revenue fund for CDBG grant funds (unless the grant was a contribution to the enterprise fund)?
			Comments:
			I. Has the Grantee developed adequate procedures to assure expenditure of all CDBG funds in excess of \$5,000 within 3 days of receipt, as required by HUD and CDBG?
			Comments:
			m. Are CDBG grant funds drawn down and CDBG Program Income receipted for in the same manner as other Grantee revenue, or are there special procedures? If so, please describe. ( <i>Program Income</i> : defined in the CDBG Manual, Chapter 4, Exhibit 4-H.)
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA			
			n. Are CDBG funds deposited into:		
			1. the Grantee's central bank account? OR 2. a separate bank account for CDBG funds?		
			Comments:		
			o. Is the account interest-bearing?		
			Comments:		
			p. Are CDBG expenditures processed in the same manner as other Grantee expenditures?		
			Comments:		
			q. Does someone compare expenditures against budgeted line items in order to control overspending on the authorized budget?		
			Comments:		
			r. Which individuals validate/authorize claims for payment for the Grantee?		
			Insert Names/Titles:		
			s. Which individuals prepare warrants for the Grantee?		
			Insert Names/Titles:		
			t. Is there sufficient separation of duties to ensure adequate internal control? (Check audit for any findings concerning internal fiscal control.)		
			Comments:		
			u. Are the public officials and employees involved in managing CDBG funds bonded as required by Montana law (2-9-701 and 2-9-801, MCA)? (Under A-87, any losses due to failure to bond local officials and employees are ineligible CDBG expenses.)		
			Comments:		
2. <u>EXF</u>	PENDI	TURE F	REVIEW		
<b>a</b> . T	otal ar	mount c	of funds drawn to date <b>per CDBG records</b> :		
	thro	ugh CD	DBG Draw #: (Date:/_ /)_		
T	Total ar	mount c	of funds expended per Grantee's records:		
E	Balance remaining per CDBG records:				
			ning per Grantee's records:		
A	Amount	t of cas	h on hand, if applicable:		

		<ul> <li>b. Do Montana CDBG's financial records and the CDBG recipient's records for the amount of CDBG funds drawn down and received agree?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>				
С	are "environmentally-	rization to incur administrative costs: (These exempt" administrative costs – i.e., those not vironmental release of funds. CDBG Manual,				
Date of CDBG environmental release of funds letter:						

Note: Using the <u>Project Expenditure Sampling Form</u> (page 12A-18) of this monitoring guide, sample a reasonable number of expenditures from both Administrative and Activity budget categories. For administrative expenses incurred by local government staff, in particular, review staff payroll timesheets, telephone bills, and travel expenses to assure reasonable relationship to CDBG activities.

### For the expenditures sampled:

YES	NO	NA	d. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant for the period prior to the authorization to incur administrative costs?					
			Comments:					
			e. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant for the period between the authorization to incur administrative costs and the release of funds?					
			Comments:					
			f. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant <u>during the</u> <u>period following MDOC's release of funds</u> ?					
			Comments:					
			g. Does a review of the following project expenditures (items 1-5, below) verify 1) that they are necessary and reasonable for administration of the CDBG project and 2) that they are eligible pursuant to OMB Circular A-87?					
			Administrative service contracts (e.g., legal, accounting, audit, consulting)					
			Comments:					
			Salaries and related costs					
			Comments:					
			3. Travel and training expenditures;					
			Comments:					
			4. Communications (e.g. telephone, postage);					
			Comments:					

YES	NO	NA	
			5. Other administrative costs (supplies, printing, equipment)?
			Comments:
			h. Were all sampled claims reviewed and approved by authorized individuals (Department Head, Council, etc.)?
			Comments:
			i. Were sampled expenditures supported by adequate source documentation (invoices, contracts, purchase orders, etc.)?
			j. Were sampled expenditures in accordance with the CDBG budget line items?
			Comments:
			k. Were any of the following ineligible expenditures noted:
			1) Interest and other financing costs?
			Comments:
			2) Contributions and donations?
			Comments:
			3) Bad debts?
			Comments:
			4) Contingencies? [NOTE: <u>Unallowable</u> contingencies are contributions to a contingency reserve held long-term for unforeseen events.]  Comments:
			5) Entertainment?
			Comments:
			6) Fines and penalties?
			Comments:
			7) Legislative expenditures?
			Comments:
			I. Were all the expenditures sampled eligible and appropriate uses of CDBG funds?
			Comments:
			m. Were all sampled expenditures adequately documented? If "no," describe.
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA					
			n. Based upon review of receipt and disbursements of CDBG funds, were there any instances of violation of HUD's \$5,000 / 3-day policy?				
			Date(s):				
			Comments:				
			o. If yes to "n" can the Grantee justify each instance of violation of the HUD \$5,000 / 3-day policy?				
			Describe circumstances:				
			Comments:				
			p. Were salaries and wages of local government staff charged against the grant, if any, supported by adequate payroll records (timesheets)?				
			Comments:				
			q. Are costs being prorated on a reasonable basis for local staff (if any) that are working partially on CDBG project activities?				
			<ul> <li>r. If work is performed by city or county staff, are there adequate records to document the use of public employees?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>				
			s. Does a review of the payroll forms reveal any instances of personnel being paid from, but not working on, program activities?				
			Comments:				
3. F	ROPE	RTY M	IANAGEMENT				
YES	NO	NA	Does the Grantee maintain property records documenting the acquisition of all property purchased with CDBG funds?				
			Comments:				
			b. Was the property acquired in compliance with HUD procurement regulations?				
			Comments:				
			c. Do these items appear on the Grantee's property records?				
			Description: Cost: \$				

4. F	. PROGRAM INCOME							
YES	NO	NA						
			a. Has any CDBG Program Income been generated through CDBG project activities? (Program Income as defined in the CDBG Manual, Chapter 4, Exhibit 4-H.)					
			Source(s):					
			Amount To date:					
			b. Has the Grantee established policies and procedures for the use of Program Income that will be generated, if any?					
			Comments:					
			c. Has the Grantee expended Program Income (other than Program Income deposited in an approved revolving fund) in payment of program costs prior to making further cash draws from the DOC?					
			Comments:					
			d. Has the Grantee established revenue accounts to account for all Program Income receipts and disbursements?					
			e. If a Program Income Fund has been established, were the procedures for receipt and disbursement of CDBG funds reviewed by DOC?					

ADMIN (A) OR NON- ADMIN (N) EXPEN- DITURE	CLAIM NO.	DATE	VENDOR/ SOURCE NO.	AMOUNT	WARRANT NO.	APPROVED BY	COMMENTS

E. [	. CIVIL RIGHTS								
1. N	MONTANA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION								
YES	NO	NA							
			a. Did the Montana Human Rights Commission notify MDOC/CDBG of any prior or current allegations of discrimination against the Grantee?						
			Comments:						
			b. Were there any complaints of discrimination associated with CDBG-funded activities, for which affirmative action was required to overcome the effects?						
			If yes, describe situation:						
2. F	RECIPI	ENT EI	MPLOYMENT						
YES	NO	NA							
			a. Does the Grantee have an adopted nondiscrimination policy (Equal Employment Opportunity)? (Exhibit 5-A)						
			Comments:						
			Date adopted:						
			b. Has the Grantee made its Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) policy clearly known to all staff involved in hiring, promotion, and salary decisions?						
			Comments:						
			c. Does the Grantee display Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) posters in conspicuous places? (Exhibit 5-M, CDBG Admin. Manual)						
			Comments:						
			Location(s) posted:						
			d. Has an individual been designated to oversee civil rights compliance for the local government, (not just for this project)?						
			Comments:						
			If "yes," whom?						

NO	NA	
		e. Has the Grantee hired any staff using CDBG funds for work on CDBG-related activities?
		Comments:  If YES, list name(s) and position(s):
		f. Were EEO guidelines followed in hiring? (Exhibits 5-H, I, and J, CDBG Administrative Manual)
		Comments: Describe:
		g. Did job announcements include a statement that "(Name of Grantee) is an equal opportunity employer"?
		Comments:
		h. Did the Grantee notify target agencies for EEO employment recruitment? (Exhibit 5-G)
		Comments:
		Were positions advertised in any minority newspapers published in the area?  Comments:
		j. Did the Grantee publish Exhibit 5-B (sample Section 3 Public Notice: Economic Opportunities for Low-Income and Very Low-Income Persons) or its equivalent?
		Comments:
		k. Has the Grantee been acting in compliance with the (December 2002) EEOC Compliance Manual Section on the Prohibition of National Origin Discrimination (See Chapter 5 CDBG Manual, page 5-12)?  Comments:
		I. Do the Grantee's records include a summary of the number of applicants for each position, the number that are minorities, women and handicapped persons, and the reasons for the hiring decisions?
		Comments:

YES	NO	NA	m. Number of CDBG-funded staff persons (previous plus new hires)
123	140		employed by the Grantee:
			Number of staff:
			Number and percentage of minority staff:
			Name(s) and position(s) of minority staff:
			n. Based on data, does minority representation appear reasonable?
			Comments:
2. F	PROJE	CT BE	NEFITS
YES	NO	NA	a. Does the Grantee follow policies and procedures to ensure nondiscrimination in the provision of grants, loans, or other CDBG assistance to beneficiaries?
			Comments:
			b. What is the minority population percentage in the project area?
			% =
			c. Is this based on:
			Census DataOR Local Survey
			d. Does the Grantee keep <u>direct benefit</u> data? <u>See Exhibit 5-E</u> .
			ATTACH EXHIBIT 5-E OR EQUIVALENT HERE for projects involving rehabilitation, acquisition, relocation, economic development hiring, public facilities targeting, or other direct benefits.
			Comments:
			e. Based on available data, does there appear to be any deficiency in providing benefits to any group?
			Comments:
			If yes, describe.

3. F	FAIR HOUSING						
YES	NO	NA	a. Describe Grantee efforts to affirmatively further fair housing. For example:				
			Does the Grantee have a fair housing resolution? (Exhibit 5-N)				
			Comments:				
			Date adopted:				
			Does the Grantee display fair housing posters (Exhibit 5-0 or equivalent)?     Does the Grantee use the "Equal Housing Opportunity" statement and symbol on its local government/agency letterhead?				
			Comments:				
			<ol><li>What actions were taken by the Grantee to affirmatively further fair housing? (See CDBG Manual, page 5-10 and following).</li></ol>				
			Comments:				
4. F	4. POLITICAL ACTIVITY						
YES	NO	NA	a. Does the Grantee have an adopted policy regarding compliance with the federal Hatch Act? Note: The Hatch Act restricts the political activities of individuals principally employed by a local government in connection with a program financed in whole or in part with federal funds. (For sample policy, see Exhibit 5-Q, CDBG Administration Manual)				
			Comments:				
			Date adopted:				
			b. Has an individual been designated to oversee Hatch Act compliance?				
			Comments:				
			If "yes," whom?				
			c. Have the Grantee's employees been furnished with appropriate information regarding restrictions on political activity? (For example, posting its Hatch Act policy.)				
			Comments:				

5. <i>A</i>	MERI	CAN DI	SABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 REQUIREMENTS
YES	NO	NA	Has the Grantee prepared an <u>Analysis of Impediments for Handicapped Accessibility</u> in accordance with the requirements of the American Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 in the following ways:
			Comments:
			a. Did the Grantee conduct a <i>Self-Evaluation Inventory of facilities and programs</i> to determine the extent of local compliance with ADA requirements?
			Comments:
			b. How has the Grantee addressed each of the following areas of ADA compliance: (describe)
			1) Communications?
			2) Public Meetings?
			3) Employment Opportunities?
			4) Program Benefits?
			5) Physically Accessible Housing?
			Comments:
			c. Did the Grantee, based on the Self-Evaluation Inventory, develop an <i>ADA Transition Plan</i> to outline steps to correct any deficiencies identified by the Self-evaluation Inventory?
,			Comments:
F.	LABO	R REQ	UIREMENTS
1. [	DAVIS-	BACOI	N PREVAILING WAGES
YES	NO	NA	Do the construction contract (s) contain a copy of the correct Davis-Bacon prevailing wage decision for the project?
			Comments / Wage Decision Date(s):
			b. Are the appropriate wage decisions in use?
			Comments:
2. F	PAYRO	LL RE	VIEW
			a. Is Form WH347 (Exhibit 6-I), U.S. DOL Payroll Form or its equivalent being used by the Contractor and sub-contractors?

			Comments:
YES	NO	NA	
			b. Are payrolls submitted weekly?
			Comments:
			c. Is there evidence of weekly payroll review by the grantee's representative? Is there evidence that all weekly payrolls have been compared to the applicable Davis-Bacon wage rates?  ~ As required, are payrolls reviewed clearly initialed by reviewer, annotated as needed, and dated to indicate completion of a weekly review? (See the CDBG Manual, Chapter 6, pages 6-6 and 6-12 and CDBG Exhibit 6-P.)
			Comments: Type of evidence (e.g., initialed and dated by reviewer, notes, calculations, etc.)
			d. Are the weekly payrolls numbered sequentially?
			Comments:
			e. Are payrolls signed by the employer or an authorized representative?
			Comments:
			f. If applicable, are apprentice/trainee records on file?
			Comments:
			g. Has overtime been paid? At correct rates? Note: "Zone pay" increases a construction worker's hourly rate. Fringe benefits can be paid in cash or to a fund. When a construction worker receives zone pay, overtime is calculated this way: [hourly rate plus zone pay rate multiplied by 1.5] plus fringe.
			Comments:
			h. Was the appropriate Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rate determination posted at the job site and reasonably accessible to employees for their review?
			Comments:
			i. Were the proper <u>zone hourly rates</u> , if applicable, used to <b>determine the adjusted base hourly pay</b> at work locations where the work site's distance from the county seat exceeds the specified distances listed in the applicable Davis-Bacon wage rate determination)?
			Comments:

	REVIEW OF EMPLOYEE INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY LOCAL PROJECT REPRESENTATIVES								
YES	NO	NA	<ol> <li>Is there adequate documentation of employee interviews?</li> <li>Are completed, signed copies of CDBG interview forms on file (HUD Form 11, Exhibit 6-K)?</li> <li>For employee interviews conducted, is there evidence that that employees wage rates were compared to Davis-Bacon wage rates applicable to the project?</li> </ol> Comments:						
			EMPLOYEE IN	TERVIEW SAMPLE	<u>A</u>				
Name (	of indiv	ridual in	terviewed:		_				
Contra	ctor:								
Job Cla	assifica	ation: (i	f applicable)						
Group:				Zone:	Zone hourly rate:				
Actual	Wage:	(+ Frin	ge)						
Require	ed Wa	ge: (+ F	ringe)						
YES	NO	NA							
			Was the wage correct?						
	Comment:								
			EMPLOYEE IN	TERVIEW SAMPLE	<u>B</u>				
Name (	of indiv	idual in	terviewed:						
Contra	ctor:								
Job Cla	assifica	ation: (i	f applicable)						
Group:				Zone:	Zone hourly rate:				
Actual	Wage:	(+ Frin	ge) \$						
Require	ed Wa	ge: (+ F	ringe) \$						
YES	NO	NA							
			Was the wage correct?						
	Comment:								

	EMPLOYEE INTERVIEW SAMPLE C						
Name	of indiv	idual in	terviewed:				
Contra	ctor:						
Job Cla	assifica	ation: (i	f applicable)				
Group:				Zone:	Zone hourly rate:		
Actual	Wage:	(+ Frin	ge) \$				
Require	ed Wa	ge: (+ F	ringe) \$				
YES	NO	NA	Was the wage correct?				
			Comment:				
			OVERVIEW OF LABOR	INTERVIEWS CONDU	CTED		
			1. Were all required lab	oor interviews sufficiently	/ documented?		
			Comment:				
			2. Was a representative	e number of trades cove	ered?		
			Comment:				
			3. Are interviews comp	ared against payrolls?			
			Comment:				
			4. Are there instances violations?				
			Comment:				
			If "yes," describe:				
			5. Were investigations	of noted violations cond	ucted in a timely manner?		
			Comment:				
			If not, describe:				
			6. Has restitution been	made to the affected wo	orkers?		
			Comment:				
			7. Were records and documentation sufficient to support the findings and the resolution of violations?				

			Com	ment:				
YES	NO	NA						
				f labor requirements con procedures been agree				
			Com	ment:				
			9. \	Were the required labor	standards pos	sters posted at t	the job site:	
			á	a. Exhibit 6-F-U.S. Dep	partment of Lat	oor Notice to Er	mployees?	
			Com	ment:				
			k	o. Appropriate U.S. De	partment of La	bor Davis-Baco	on Wage Rates?	
			Com	ment:				
			(	c. Exhibit 6-G, DOC Ed	qual Employme	ent Opportunity	Poster?	
			Com	ment:				
<b>6</b> [7	10011	ICITIO	N /IE	Amplicable)				
_				Applicable)				
		-		s proposed:				
		•		de to date:				
				l REGARDING ACQUI isition Sampled	SITIONS:			
1. 1	lame o	of prope	rty ow	ner:				
2. T	elepho	one Nur	mber:					
3. A	ddres	s of acc	quired	property:				
	4. Property Use: easement for water line		Single Fam. Res.	Agriculture	Business	Nonprofit		
						YES	NO	
5. (	5. Occupants?							
6. T	6. Tenants?							
NOTE: Persons or businesses displaced by acquisition are entitled to relocation assistance (see Chapter 7 of the CDBG Manual)  For such cases, complete Section H (Relocation) of this Monitoring Guide to document payments to owners or tenants.								

## Complete Only For VOLUNTARY Acquisition (Not Governed By the Uniform Relocation Act) 1. Does the Grantee's file include the following documentation: YES NO NA **DOCUMENTATION IN FILE** DATE: **Amount** Public Invitation for Acquisition of Real Meeting Property (Exhibit 7-A) Voluntary Agreement Between Grantee and Individual Seller (Exhibit 7-B) **Appraisal** Contract signed/Proof of payment Recording of property deed Record of settlement costs Filing of complaint or appeal (if applicable) Resolution of complaint or appeal (if applicable) Acknowledgment by Grantee that acquisition was voluntary, exempt from procedures required under the Uniform Act, Title III, and that dislocation of tenants must be done pursuant to Title II H. RELOCATION (If Applicable) Number of **Proposed** To Date relocations: Owner **Tenant Business** Total: Method of relocation: [ ] governed by Uniform Act; Section 104 (d) of the Housing & Community Development Act; [ ] optional relocation Attach Exhibit 5-E, Direct Benefits Summary Form, showing civil rights-related info all relocatees. **YES** NO Were any complaints or appeals filed? If yes, describe the issues and how they were resolved.

YES	NO	
		Approximately 60 days after the relocation payment has been made and the relocation has taken place, does the Grantee follow-up to determine whether the replacement housing is satisfactory?
		: COMPLETE ONLY FOR OPTIONAL RELOCATIONS. by the UNIFORM RELOCATION ACT)
		dividual optional relocation cases for review.  necklist on the following pages for each, and attach.
YES	NO	Complete For Optional Relocations
		Did optional relocation project receive prior approval from DOC?
		Date of adoption of local policy governing optional relocation.
		3. Date of DOC approval of local policy.
		Generally describe project and system for providing benefits to affected individuals:
		5. Select several individual cases for review, complete the attached form for each, and attach.
RELOC	CATIONS	S COVERED BY THE UNIFORM ACT (RESIDENTIAL OR BUSINESS )
Name		
Former	Address	5
New Ad	ddress	
Project	Name	
Acquisi	tion No.	
Relocat	tion No.	
		Continued, next page

Owner			Tenant		Business			
Dates	Applie Residentia Busines	al (R) or	) or in the Relocation file.					
	R &	В	MDOC Authorization to	ncur cost	t			
	R &	В	When 1st occupied old u	nit				
	R &	В	Initiation of Negotiations	to Acquir	e Property			
	R &	В	Property Acquired					
	R &	В	(*) General Information Notice (8-A)					
	R &	В	(*) Notice of Relocation Eligibility (8-B). Must include referral to comparable housing in this notice.					
	R &	В	Moved to replacement de	welling or	business			
	R		(*) Confidential Family S	urvey Gu	ide (8-C) X			
	R		(*) Identified comparable replacement dwelling					
	R &	В	(*) 90-Day Notice delivered (8-D)					
	R &	В	(*) 30-Day Notice delivered (8-E if applicable)					
	R		(*) Housing Inspection F	orm (8-F)	)			
	R		(*) Letter to Relocatee in	Substan	dard Unit (if applicable) (8-	G)		
	R		(*) Applicable claim form (8-H, 8-I, 8-J, or 8-K)					
	R		(*) Applicable claim form (8-L or 8-M)					
	R &	В	(*) Letter of Acknowledgment for Services and Payments Rendered (8-N)					
	R &	В	Follow-up contact					
NOTES:								

FOR RESIDENTIAL RELOCATIONS COVERED BY THE UNIFORM RELOCATION ACT						
Monthly Housing Costs for: Acquired Dwelling [ ] Replacement [ ]						
TYPE OF PAYMENT Moving Expenses	(*) AMOUNT CLAIMED	(*) APPROVED	(*) DATE PAID			
Actual						
Fixed						
Replacement Housing Payment						
Homeowner (180-day)						
Rental Assistance (90-day)						
Down Payment (90-day)						
See Chapter 5, CDBG Manual and Exhibit 5-E.)  6. Household Characteristics: (The new HUD/OMB data collection requirements that were announced December, 2002 were incorporated into CDBG Manual's revised Exhibit 5-E, Direct Benefits Data, and also into CDBG Project Monitoring Guide.)  a. Family size:  b. Ethnicity: (select only one)  [ ] Hispanic or Latino  [ ] Not Hispanic or Latino						
c. Race: (select one or more)  1. White 2. Black or African American 3. Asian 4. American Indian or Alaskan Native 5. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander 6. American Indian or Alaskan Native and White 7. Asian and White 8. Black or African American and White 9. American Indian or Alaskan Native and Black or African American 10. Other Multi-racial (balance of individuals reporting more than one race)  d. Other:  [] Female head of household [] Elderly (over 62) [] Handicapped (nature of handicap):  e. Income Data: Gross annual income of household: \$						

FOR BUSINESS RELOCATIONS COVERED BY THE UNIFORM RELOCATION ACT

NOTE: All iter relocation file.	ms preceded by	an asterisk	(*) require	docume	entatio	n in th	ne
TYPE OF PAYM Moving E	ENT Expenses	(*) AMOUN	NT	(*) APPROVED			(*) DATE PAID
Actual							
Fixed							
Guidance) is curi Relocation Handl		d (July, 2007) <u>.hud.gov/office</u>	. For the mos es/cpd/library/re	t current location/p	version olicyand	of thes	
Owner [ ] Tenan	t [ ]			YES	NO	DAT	E AMOUNT
Name:							
Address:							
Date of verification	of property ownershi	ip					
				YES	NO	DAT	E AMOUNT
Did owner apply fo	or rehabilitation funds?	?					
Date property was	identified as unsuitab	ole for rehab					
Reasons:							
Was photo docum	entation of condition i	retained?					
Monthly housing corent, plus utilities	ost, including mortgaç	ge, taxes and i	nsurance, or				
Was property acqu	uired by Grantee:						
Date of temporary	relocation of resident	s					
Date of SHPO clea	arance of demolition						
Date of demolition	Date of demolition of structure:						
Date household moved into replacement dwellings							
Address, if different from above					•		·
Did Grantee certify replacement dwelling as suitable							
Describe replacement dwelling.					J	ļ	
Monthly cost of replacement housing, including mortgage, taxes and insurance, or rent, plus utilities:							
Amount of paymer	using:						
Amount of temporary relocation or other expenses paid:							

Is pro	of of rece	pt of paym	nent in file	?						
Descr movin	ibe metho g of repla	od of secur cement dv	ring the in welling:	nprovements to p	orevent sa	ale or				
I.	CON	STRUCT	ION MA	NAGEMENT	<u> </u>					
	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PROCUREMENT PROCESS     For construction contracts not already reviewed by MDOC/CDBG staff, use Exhibit 9-C, Checklist of Required Clause for CDBG Construction Contracts, in the CDBG Administration Manual.									
a.	Projec	t location	n (Coun	ty and neares	st town):					
b.	Descri	ption of	work:				·			
c.	Name	s of new	spapers	used for bid	advertisi	ing and	d dates o	of publ	ication (o	r attach copies)
d.	Bid op	ening da	ate:							
YES	NO	NA								
			e.	contracted c (Required by purchase of any kind, or aggregate.)	onstructi y Montar vehicles	ion in c na law s, macl	ompliand for all c ninery, a	ce with ontrac applian	7-5-2301 ts in exce ces, equi	ertising for all publicly and 7-5-4302, MCA? ess of \$20,000 for the pment or materials of maintenance, in the
	f. Did the Grantee assure contractor affirmative action responsibilities concerning contracting with Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (see Chapter 5, page 5-16 and following)?.  Comments:									
g. List of Bidders (or attach copy of bid tabulation sent to CDBG)  Bid Amount:										
h. Were only registered contractors' bids reviewed?  Yes [ ] No [ }										

i. C	ate co	ntract v	vas awarded:					
j. N	Name o	of Contr	actor(s):					
<b>k.</b> [	Date of	Pre-co	nstruction Conference:					
YES	NO	NA						
			<ul> <li>I. Is a copy of the conference minutes on file?</li> <li>m. Did the Grantee complete Exhibit 5-J, Contract Reporting Form, at the time that this contract was awarded?</li> <li>n. (Was 5-J completed for all contracts and subcontracts, including contractors and subcontractors that are not minority owned, exceeding \$10,000?)</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>					
n D	ato of (	Contrac	tor's Notice to Proceed:					
			INSURANCE					
			INSURANCE					
YES	NO	NA						
			a. Is the prime contractor registered as required by 37-71-201, MCA?					
			Comments:					
			<ul><li>b. For construction contracts over \$100,000, did the contractor(s) meet the requirements for:</li><li>1) Bid bond equal to 10% of bid price?</li></ul>					
			Comments:					
			Performance bond equal to 100% of contract price?  Comments:					
			3) Payment bond equal to 100% of contract price?					
			Comments:					
3. <u>(</u>	3. CONTRACT DOCUMENTS							
			Was the contract bid document reviewed by MDOC/CDBG to verify that all proposed construction work was appropriate and eligible for CDBG funding?					
			Date of Review:  Reviewed By:  Comments:					
			Comments.					

a. Have any change orders been issued for the project?  Comments: b. If yes, sample and describe representative change orders.  Comments: c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?  Comments: d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?  GHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?				<ol> <li>Was firm fixed-price or lump sum compensation used?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ol>					
Comments: b. If yes, sample and describe representative change orders.  Comments: c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?  Comments: d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	4.	4. CHANGE ORDERS							
b. If yes, sample and describe representative change orders.  Comments:  c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?  Comments:  d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor:				a. Have any change orders been issued for the project?					
Comments:  c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?  Comments:  d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor:				Comments:					
c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?  Comments:  d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?				b. If yes, sample and describe representative change orders.					
work or construction schedule?  Comments:  d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?				Comments:					
d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?									
appropriate agency?  Comments:  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?				Comments:					
CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?									
a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?				Comments:					
b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	CHAI	NGE OR	DER SA	MPLE NUMBER ONE					
c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order? f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable? g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	a)	Date:							
d) Contractor:  e) Who approved the change order?  f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?  g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date:  b) Amount:  c) Purpose:  d) Contractor:  e) Who approved the change order?	b)	Amoun	t:						
e) Who approved the change order?  f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?  g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date:  b) Amount:  c) Purpose:  d) Contractor:  e) Who approved the change order?	c)	Purpos	e:						
f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?  g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date:  b) Amount:  c) Purpose:  d) Contractor:  e) Who approved the change order?	d)	Contrac	ctor:						
g) How was the change order funded?  CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	e)	Who ap	proved	the change order?					
CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO  a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	f)	Does it	appear	that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?					
a) Date: b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	g)	How wa	as the ch	ange order funded?					
b) Amount: c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	CHAI	CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO							
c) Purpose: d) Contractor: e) Who approved the change order?	a)	Date:							
d) Contractor:  e) Who approved the change order?	b)	Amoun	t:						
e) Who approved the change order?	c)	Purpos	e:						
<u> </u>	d)	Contrac	ctor:						
f) Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?	e)	Who approved the change order?							
-	f)	Does it	appear	that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?					
g) How was the change order funded?	g)	How wa	as the ch	ange order funded?					

	J. INDIVIDUAL HOUSING REHABILITATION FILE REVIEW (IF APPLICABLE)
_	Copy this section and review a sample of the individual housing rehabilitation files.
1.	Name of property owner:
2.	Address of property rehabilitated:
3.	Address of owner, if different:
4.	Date of application for assistance:
5.	Type of housing unit assisted:  Owner-occupied [ ] Tenant-occupied [ ] Single family unit [ ] Mobile home [ ] Multiple family units [ ]  (# for each type):
6.	Household Characteristics: See CDBG Manual Exhibit 5-E and Chapter 5 of the DCBG Manual. New HUD/OMB data collection requirements were announced December, 2002 and were incorporated into CDBG Manual's Exhibit 5-E (Direct Benefits Data) and also into this CDBG Project Monitoring Guide.
	Grantees are required to request this information, but cannot require it if the request is refused. If the information is not provided by the household being assisted, the Grantee is asked to provide an estimate
	a. Family size:
	<ul> <li>b. <u>Ethnicity</u>: (select only one)</li> <li>[ ] Hispanic or Latino</li> <li>[ ] Not Hispanic or Latino</li> </ul>
	c. Race: (select one or more)  1. White 2. Black or African American 3. Asian 4. American Indian or Alaskan Native 5. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander 6. American Indian or Alaskan Native and White 7. Asian and White 8. Black or African American and White 9. American Indian or Alaskan Native and Black or African American 10. Other Multi-racial (balance of individuals reporting more than one race)  d. Other:
	[ ] Female head of household [ ] Elderly (over 62) [ ] Handicapped (nature of handicap):

7.	Incom a.	<b>e Data</b> Gross a	nnual income of household: \$
YES	NO	NA	
			<ul><li>b. Does this meet LMI eligibility requirements?</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			c. Was the income verified? Describe how the income was verified:  Comments:
			d. Was this housing unit eligible?  Comments:
			Is this housing unit located within project area?  Comments:
			2. Was the property ownership verified?  Comments:
			3. Was the property value determined?  Value of property: \$  Comments:
			4. Was a credit check conducted?  Comments:
			5. Was a title search conducted?  Comments:
			6. Did the grantee confirm that taxes were paid and current?  Comments:
			8. Property Work Write-Up and Bidding
			a. Was the property determined to be substandard and suitable for rehab?  Comments:
			b. Was a work write-up prepared? Date:  Comments:
			c. Was a cost estimate included in the work write-up?  Amount: \$  Comments:
			d. Is this a self-help rehab? Comments:
			e. If not, how was the work advertised, calling for bids?  Comments:

YES	NO	NA		
			f. Was an inspection walk through provided for contractors/potential bidders?  Date: Comments:	
			g. <u>List of Bidders</u> : <u>\$ Amount Bid</u> :	
			Comments:	
			h. Is there an official letter of award of the rehab contract?  Award date(s):	
			Comments:	
			i. Name of Contractor(s) selected: Comments:	
			j. Were the lowest responsible bids awarded?  Comments:	
			10. Securing the Grant or Loan	
			a. Type of assistance and amount provided to this household:Grant [ ] Amount:	
			Low-interest Loan [ ] Terms: Amount:	
			Deferred Loan [ ] Terms: Amount:	
			Other [ ] Describe:	
			Comments:	
			b. Parties to the housing rehab contract? Owner [ ] Contractor [ ] Grantee as Third Party [ ]	
			Comments:	
			c. Was a property lien filed? When?  Comments:	
			11. Rehabilitation Contractor(s) selected for this rehabilitation work on this housing unit	
			a. Name(s) of contractor(s)	
			Comments:	

YES	NO	NA	
			<ul> <li>b. Did the Grantee verify the eligibility of contractor with MDOC? ( to assure that the contractor was not debarred and on the Excluded Parties List)</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
			c. Did the Grantee verify that the contractor was registered?  Comments:
			<ul><li>d. Is there evidence in the file that the contractor has:</li><li>1. liability insurance?</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			2. property damage insurance?  Comments:
			3. Worker's Comp. insurance?  Comments:
			Does the contract list specific work or include the work write-up?  Comments:
			f. Were all costs reasonable? Comments:
			g. Are all required CDBG contract clauses included?  Comments:
			h. Compliance with Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964?  Comments:
			i. Section 109 compliance? Comments:
			j. If lead-based paint (LBP) remediation was involved, were lead-safe work practices followed?
			<ul><li>k. Were the HUD/EPA LBP rules followed (per CDBG Manual Exhibits 2-V, W, X, Y and Z?</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			I. Termination clause in the contract?  Comments:
			m. 1 year guarantee on work in the contract?  Comments:
			n. Equal Employment Opportunity (Exec. Order 11246 for over \$10,000) clause in the contract?
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA	
			Are required property standards followed in the contract based on workwrite up?:  Comments:
			p. Section 8? Comments:
			q. National Electrical Code? Comments:
			<ul><li>r. Uniform Building Code for 5 or more units?</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			s. Were MDOC mobile home standards followed?  Comments:
			12. <u>Permits</u>
			a. Are required permits on file?  1. State electrical permit? (NA if Grantee certified)  Comments:
			State plumbing permit? (NA if Grantee certified)  Comments:
			3. State mechanical permit? (NA if Grantee certified)  Comments:
			b. Name of electrician: Is electrician licensed? Comments:
			c. Name of plumber: Is plumber licensed? Comments:
			13. Change Orders
			a. Were any change orders issued? Comments:
			b. If change orders were issued, select a sample and describe each:
			Change Order Sample Number 1: Amount: Purpose: Does it appear cost and purpose of the change order are reasonable? (Attach further explanation in notes if necessary.) Comments:
			<u>Change Order – Sample Number 2:</u>

14 a.	Amount: Purpose: Does it appear cost and purpose of the change order are reasonable? (Attach further explanation in notes if necessary.) Comments:  4. Inspections prior to Disbursements . Are inspections made prior to disbursements?					
C.	Init Inte Fin	ial _ erim _	ocal insp. dates	pection Electrical/plumbing Disbursements inspection dates Amounts Dates		
Co	omn	nents:				
ΥI	ES	NO	NA			
				e. Were all lien waivers obtained?  Comments:		
				f. Does the Grantee do a follow-up contact with homeowner and/or tenant(s) after completion of work to determine the homeowner's satisfaction with the work? Comments:		
				15. Affordability		
				a. For owner-occupied, single family units, how was the affordability of the rehab assistance determined?  Comments:		
				b. For tenant-occupied single family housing:		
				1. Describe the contract clause for assuring initial LMI occupancy:		
				2. Will rent be increased after rehab? (Period of affordability clause? See "d" below.)		
YI	ES	NO	NA			

d. Describe the contract clause for requiring affordable rents.  e. Who will be responsible for monitoring for compliance with the "affordable rents" contract clause over the long-term?  f. How will this be assured?  g. Describe the contract clause for assuring initial occupancy by LMI residents:  h. If this involves a loan, describe any special terms of the loan.  YES NO NA 16. Financial Management  a. Date rehab contract was signed:				c. For multiple family rehab: proposed monthly rents: total # units: # occupied by LMI households: local definition of "affordable rent"?
rents" contract clause over the long-term?  f. How will this be assured?  g. Describe the contract clause for assuring initial occupancy by LMI residents:  h. If this involves a loan, describe any special terms of the loan.  YES NO NA 16. Financial Management  a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$ b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$ c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed? Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract? Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD? Comments:				d. Describe the contract clause for requiring affordable rents.
g. Describe the contract clause for assuring initial occupancy by LMI residents:  h. If this involves a loan, describe any special terms of the loan.  YES NO NA 16. Financial Management  a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$  c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?  Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?  Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD?  Comments:				
h. If this involves a loan, describe any special terms of the loan.  16. Financial Management  2. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$  c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?  Comments:  2. d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?  Comments:  3. d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?  Comments:  4. d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?  Comments:				f. How will this be assured?
YES NO NA 16. Financial Management  a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$ b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$ c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed? Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract? Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD? Comments:				
a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$ b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$ c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed? Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract? Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD? Comments:				h. If this involves a loan, describe any special terms of the loan.
Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC:     Amount \$  c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?     Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?     Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD?     Comments:				16. Financial Management
contract was signed? Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract? Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD? Comments:	YES	NO	NA	
of the rehab contract?  Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD?  Comments:	YES	NO	NA	a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC:
charges, remitted to HUD? Comments:	YES	NO	NA	a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$  c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?
YES NO NA	YES	NO	NA	a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$  b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$  c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?  Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?
	YES	NO	NA	a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$ b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$ c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed? Comments:  d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract? Comments:  e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD?

	f. If a loan, who is responsible for periodically reviewing the file to ensure compliance with the loan agreement?  Comments:
	g. Has a monthly amortization table been established?  Comments:
	h. Has the contract been reviewed or scheduled for review at a later date to determine whether the recipient of a loan is current with the repayment schedule?  Comments:
	i. Is the recipient current with the repayment schedule?  Comments:
	j. If not, how will the delinquency be resolved?
	17. Inspection of Property. Review Inspection Report. If possible, site visit and interview of benefiting householders.
	a. Does rehab work conform to contract, including change orders?  Comments:
	b. Do costs and work items appear reasonable and eligible?  Comments:
	c. Is construction quality acceptable?  Comments:
	d. Is beneficiary satisfied?  Comments:

## **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (CDBG-ED PROGRAM)** See Chapter 8 of the CDBG Grant Administration Manual YES NO NA 1. Does the Grantee have a system in place to periodically review the assistance agreement(s) between the local government and the assisted entity to ensure compliance with conditions contained in the agreement? **Comments:** 2. Is the system being used effectively? Comments: 3. Were changes to the assistance agreement between the local government and the assisted entity authorized by DOC? (Compare the agreement approved by DOC with the one in the Grantee files.) Comments:

YES	NO	NA	
			Has an account been established to record loan repayments?  Comments:
			5. When is the first payment due?
			6. Have there been any delinquent payments?  Comments:
			7. Is the Grantee maintaining records to document that the entity(ies) being assisted is substantially achieving the hiring goal for retention or creation of full-time equivalent jobs for low and moderate income persons that was proposed in the Grantee's final hiring and training plan approved by DOC? (Documentation must include the number and description of the jobs created or retained and income data for the persons filling those positions.)  Comments:
			Did the Grantee establish hiring guidelines that contain the following elements:     a. Current section 8 income guidelines?  Comments:
			b. Recipient eligibility verification?  Comments:
			c. Job application procedures?  Comments:
			9. What other sources and amounts of financing are involved in the project?  Comments:
			Are CDBG funds being matched by the sources and the amounts proposed in the applications?  Comments:

YES	NO	NA	
			11. Are Davis-Bacon wage rates involved in the project?
			Describe the project components that involve Davis-Bacon wage rates:
			If the Grantee is constructing public facilities in support of the economic development project with publicly contracted labor, complete the Construction Management and Construction Contract Review portions of this monitoring guide in Section I.
			Complete the Labor Standards Compliance Section under Section F of this monitoring guide if Davis-Bacon is involved in private contracts for the project.  Comments:
			12. On-site visit of assisted entity:
			a. Does the project and project area appear to be the same as proposed in the application?
			Comments:
			b. Does the visible progress match that represented in the progress report submitted with the most recent drawdown? (For example: construction, equipment installation, relocation, rehabilitation, etc.)  Comments:
			c. Are major items purchased with CDBG funds available for visible inspection?  Comments:
			List major items checked:
			Do they appear to be the same as proposed?
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA	
			d. Does the business appear to be active and conducting business as would be expected? (For example: are shipments coming or going, are workers on-site, inventory stockpiled, etc.)  Comments:
			e. Does the inventory on-site compare in quantity, quality, age, etc. to that described in the business plan?  Comments:
			e. Has the Grantee been monitoring the project effectively? (For example, is there evidence on file that on-site visits have been conducted or review of hiring and financial reports has occurred.)  Comments:

# L. Project Closeout and Audit Review

4	DDO IECT CLOSECLIT	(See Chapter 12	CDRG Manual
1.	PROJECT CLOSEOUT	(See Chapter 13,	CDDG Manual)

			or or of the state		
YES	NO	NA			
			<ul> <li>1) Has the project been monitored?</li> <li>Check the monitoring letter (date:) for any unresolved issues such as findings or compliance issues. (They should be resolved before proceeding.)</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>		
			Date Monitored:		
			<ul> <li>2) Is there any pending litigation or disputes involving this project?</li> <li>If there is a lawsuit or ongoing dispute involving the Grantee, contractor(s) or professional(s) in conjunction with this project, a project closeout cannot be completed check with the CDBG Program Manager before proceeding any further with closeout work.</li> </ul>		
			Comments:		
			3) Grant recipients must follow the directions in Chapter 13, <u>Project Closeout</u> , for the project completion report instructions.		
			Date MDOC/CDBG Received Project Closeout Report: Comments:		

YES	NO	NA	
			4) Are the following forms and required narrative completed:
			a) ■ Exhibit 13-A, Step 5
			<ul> <li>Narrative responses and/or documentation given to address each sub-point under Step 5 as applicable, including:</li> <li>Program Income Plan;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Any labor standards compliance actions;</li> </ul>
			▶ Inventory of property costing \$5,000 or more acquired with CDBG funds;
			<ul> <li>Copy of engineer or architect's substantial or final completion report;</li> <li>Narrative describing relationship of project to CDBG objectives;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Narrative of citizen comments regarding the project and actions to address them;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Any comments or suggestions on CDBG policies and procedures.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
			b) ■EXHIBIT 13-B Final Performance Report
			▶ Check Proposed Accomplishments against Actual Accomplishments & Compare this to Scope of Work in Grantee's Application.
			Comments:
			c) ■ EXHIBIT 13-C.1 Certification of Completion, Final Status of Funds Report
			▶ This should reflect the Grantee's last expenditures.
			▶ Call Management Services to check account balance.
			▶ Check grant amounts expended to balance remaining against the last drawdown.
			<ul><li>Compare balance to the most current contract budget.</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			d) ■ EXHIBIT 13-C.2 Conditional Closeout Certification and
			e) ■ EXHIBIT 13-C.3 Final Closeout Certification
			<ul><li>Make sure these have been signed by the Chief Elected Official.</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
			f) ■ EXHIBIT 13-E Contract Reporting Form
			▶ Make sure contractors and subcontractors are listed. Comments:
			g) ■ EXHIBIT 13-F Indirect Benefits Reporting Form
			<ul> <li>Check this against Benefit to LMI information in original application.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>

YES	NO	NA	
			<ul> <li>h) EXHIBIT 13-G Calculation of Funds Benefiting LMI</li> <li>▶ Check this against Benefit to LMI Table in the original application. The amount in the closeout report should be the same as or greater than what was proposed in the application.</li> <li>▶ Check occupancy requirements if project was for new housing.</li> <li>Comments:</li> <li>5) Are there any issues of concern with the above requirements?</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>6) Are there are any remaining unexpended funds at the time of final closeout?</li> <li>If "yes", what is the amount to be reallocated?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
			AMOUNT REALLOCATED:
			<ul> <li>7) Amount to be reserved for audit?</li> <li>This amount will usually be requested with the Grantee's final draw.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
			AMOUNT FOR AUDIT:
			8) Are there any funds not authorized for expenditure that need to be recaptured?  Comments:
			AMOUNT NEEDED TO BE RECAPTURED:

### 2. AUDIT REVIEW (See Chapter 14, CDBG Manual)

If there is no copy of the audit in the project file, obtain one from Local Government Services Bureau of the Montana Department of Administration (Phone: 841-2907).

1) List the state fiscal years in which CDBG funds have been expended and the total amount drawn down by the Grantee for each fiscal year, according to MDOC records:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
TOTAL:	

YES	NO	NA					
			2) Has an audit been performed for the project (or is one scheduled)?				
			3) List the fiscal years covered or to be covered by an audit:				
			Audit Date: Fiscal Year(s) Covered:				
			1.				
			2.				
			3.				
YES	NO	NA					
			4) At the time of closeout, have all funds been audited, and has the CDBG Program Specialist reviewed all audits for this project?				
			5) Based on audit information, if the project still requires an audit, then prepare a <i>conditional closeout</i> until a final audit on all expended CDBG funds has been received.				
			Projected Date of Final Audit:				
			6) Are there any unresolved findings or noncompliance issues with applicable laws and regulations remaining from the last audit completed for this project?				
			6) Are there any issues of concern concerning the project's audits?				

<sup>\*</sup> For Findings, check (1) Auditor's Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance and (2) Report on Compliance with Laws & Regulations related to federal financial assistance problems.

- \* NOTE: Ignore any references to drug free workplace -- this is not a HUD requirement.
- \* If the Grantee receives less than \$25,000 of combined federal financial assistance in a fiscal year during the term of the project, the CDBG funds do not have to be audited according to A-128.

3.	3. Program Income				
YES	NO	NA			
			Will program income be received after closeout? If "yes," the Grante should has to adopt a program income plan and set up acceptable procedures for the accounting and administration of the program incombefore the project can be closed out by MDOC. Be sure program incomplan has been approved by MDOC.	ole ne	

2)	Are there any unexpended program income funds remaining at closeout? If "yes," inform the Grantee in the closeout letter about Title 1 requirements applicable to unexpended program income received before and after closeout.
3)	<b>Does the Grantee have any other open CDBG projects?</b> If "yes," program income should be used from this project for the other open project, unless there is a dedicated RLF.

# PROGRAM INCOME PLAN/REVOLVING LOAN FUND CHECKLIST FOR PROJECT CLOSEOUT

The Department of Commerce's decision to permit the retaining of Program Income or the development of an RLF will be determined on the adequacy of the proposed program income plan (P.I.P.) For the use and administration of The program. See pages 2-8 of MDOC's Program Income/RLF Manual and Chapter 4 of the CDBG Grant Administration Manual for P.I.P. requirements. The following elements should be included or addressed in the Program Income Plan

|--|

YES	NO	NA	a. Provisions of Title I will not apply, but a minimum of 51% of the funds must
			be used for activities that benefit low and moderate income (LMI) persons.
			Program income must be used for CDBG eligible activities.

#### 2. FOR CDBG PROJECTS FUNDED 1993 AND LATER, AFTER PROJECT CLOSEOUT

			a.	Maximum 18% for administration and 82% for activity per year
			b.	70% of the activity expenditure in any year would have to benefit 51% LMI. The remaining 30% could be used for any CDBG eligible activity.
YES	NO	NA	C.	For any project funded with program income or RLF money, Title I regulations apply. If less than \$25,000 of program income is received in any year, these funds are exempt from Title I requirements. However, the funds

Manipulation 400/ for a designistration and 000/ for a stirity many com-

must benefit 51% LMI and be eligible for funding under the CDBG program.

### 3. FOR ALL PROJECTS (FUNDED BEFORE AND AFTER 1992)

			,	•
YES	NO	NA	a.	Eligible activities as listed under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act as amended. See the appendix of the Program Income/RLF Manual, or Section 105(a) of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended through October 28, 1992.
			b.	Description of activities to be funded by program income.
			C.	Eligible types of loans.
			d.	Loan financing policies: minimum and maximum dollar amounts, terms, rates of interest, policies for restructuring loans.
			e.	Description of the goals and objectives of the plan.

YES	NO	NA	
			f. Description of eligible applicants for the funds.
			g. Loan review committee.
			h. How projects are to be evaluated/Project Selection and Approval Process. Establish criteria and procedures for reviewing and approving loans, including how needs are justified and prioritized, who the beneficiaries are, etc., the type of credit and financial analysis for evaluation.
			i. How loans will be secured and serviced.
			j. Description of the accounting and reporting procedures for the funds.
			k. Evidence that the governing body has approved the Program Income Plan

### CDBG's Program Income Plan approval language:

We have approved the Program Income Plan which allows the local government to retain program income. All program income received after closeout of a CDBG project must be distributed to an appropriate revolving loan fund or program income account. Because the DOC has ultimate responsibility for the program income retained and used at the local level, it is required to ensure that such program income is used in a manner consistent with CDBG requirements. The DOC has instituted a Grantee reporting process. This will require that the Grantee prepare a special report for RLF and individual loan funds. These reports must be filed with the DOC twice a year, at the end of each December and June. The first report is due no later than January 31 and the second no later than July 30. If you have a system in place please send that. Otherwise, see MDOC's Program Income/RLF Manual, use the form enclosed, or call the CDBG program for guidance.

Project activities funded from program income generated from projects funded after 1992, will be subject to Title I regulations under the Housing and Community Development Act as amended. Title I regulations will not apply where less than \$25,000 of program income is retained in any year.

NOTE: Unless program income is dedicated to an ED RLF, all program income received prior to a CDBG project closeout must be distributed back to the corresponding open project fund. Such program income must be added to the funds committed to the project and used for these activities before a Grantee can request an additional drawdown of funds from its project account. The program income will be accounted for and reported on as part of the financial transactions of the CDBG project.

4.	CDBG's Project /Contract Closeout Letter					
YES	NO	NA				
			1) Prepare a closeout letter. The closeout letter will either be a conditional or final closeout.			
			<ul> <li>a) Conditional Closeout Letter</li> <li>All required CDBG closeout forms and narrative completed</li> <li>Certificate of completion from engineer or architect</li> <li>Reference the date of monitoring in the closeout letter</li> <li>Final audit scheduled</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>b) Final Closeout Letter</li> <li>The project can be finally closed-out if all expended CDBG funds have been audited and the project has been monitored.</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>Final status of funds report and</li> <li>Include a statement that all CDBG-related records must be retained for three years after final project closeout.</li> </ul>			
YES	NO	NA	Are there any concerns regarding completing a conditional or final closeout for this project?			
5.	Closed	ut Follo	ow-up			
YES	NO	NA				
			1) Update MDOC's in-house records:  a. Project closeout tracking sheet (WORD)  b. Project draws tracking sheet (Excel)  c. Program Specialist's project tracking sheet (WORD)  d. Consolidated project tracking sheet (Excel)  2) Notify MDOC's Management Services Division that the project has been			
			closed out. (Give a copy of the closeout letter sent to Grantee to Management Services.)			